

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/661,136	0:	9/13/2000	John Griebat	1708	1708 7699	
7	590	04/21/2003				
Lars S Johnso			EXAMINER			
The Quaker Oa 321 North Clar		any	HONG, WILLIAM			
Mail Code 25-7						
Chicago, IL 6	0610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3725	a	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	•	09/661,136	GRIEBAT ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	William Hong	3725	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period fo			•	
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Persions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory performed to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status	Decreasive to communication(s) filed on (25 March 2002		
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2			
2a)⊠	,—	This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und			IS
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is/are pending in the applica			
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
	Claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is/are rejected.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
• •	ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Even	vinor		
	The specification is objected to by the Exam The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 September 2000</u>		shipstod to by the Evaminer	
10)[Applicant may not request that any objection to		•	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		indeproved by the Examine.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •		
, —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for-	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
/—	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
ĺ	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
	Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No	
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
14) 🔲 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	iion).
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			
Attachmer	nt(s)			
2) D Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S Patent and	Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/661,136

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Response To Amendment

Applicant's amendment received March 25, 2003, has been entered and fully considered.

An action on the merits follows.

Drawings

Applicant's amendment of the specification sufficiently overcomes the drawing objections of the prior Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claims 6-10 are dependent on cancelled claim 1. For purposes of this Office Action, the Examiner has examined claims 6-10 as dependent upon claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/661,136

Art Unit: 3725

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Giguere (USP 5,250,313). Giguere discloses in figure 5 a method for processing kernels of corn in a mill stream to produce a desired end product comprising, *inter alia*; cleaning the kernels ("corn cleaning"); degerminating the kernels after cleaning ("DEGERMINATE"); breaking the kernels ("1ST BRK ROLL"); separating the parts using at least two separation steps ("IST BRK SIFT", 2ND BRK SIFT"); removing at least one size class as the desired end product after at least two separation steps (see stream from "1ST BRK SIFT" to "MEAL GRADE"); removing at least one size class as the desired end product after at least two separation steps (see stream from "2ND BRK SIFT" to "MEAL GRADE"); removing at least one of said size class as the desired end product after each separation step (see stream from "IST BRK SIFT", "2ND BRK SIFT", "3RD BRK SIFT", etc. to "MEAL GRADE"); diverting one or more size classes to a germ oil recovery (see stream leading to and from "TO OIL RECOVERY"); and diverting one or more size classes to an aspirator and diverting the aspirated grain to a roller (see stream leading to and from "ASPIRATORS").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,136

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giguere. Giguere has been described. Additionally, Giguere discloses using various size sieves, including number 12 and number 30 (column 11, line 34 through column 12, line 49). Giguere does not disclose using sieve size numbers 6 and 62. However, it has been held that it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation when general conditions are disclosed in the prior art. *In re Aller*, 220F, 2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). Giguere sets forth the general condition of using various size sieves and thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to discover the optimum or workable ranges for using a desired size sieve to obtain a desired size end product.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments received March 25, 2003, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 3725

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Hong whose telephone number is 703-308-9619. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu, 8:00a-6:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

William Hong Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3725

April 17, 2003